

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/270,006 | 03/16/1999 | JEAN-PIERRE ROBIN | 017753-113 | 1899 |
| 21839 | 7590 09/04/2002 | | | |
| BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P | | | EXAMINER | |
| | POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 | | BALASUBRAMANIAN | I, VENKATARAMAN |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1624 | 26 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/04/2002 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | A North Alexander | A 15 | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/270,006 | ROBIN ET AL. | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Venkataraman Balasubramanian | 1624 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>88-101</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | |
| 10. ☑ Other: <u>See attached lettet.</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/270,006

Art Unit: 1624

Letter

The amendment filed 7/31/2002 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will not be entered for the following reasons.

Claims 88-101(previously claims 59-65) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. Yaoxue Xuebao (Acta. Pharm. Sinica) 27, 178-184, 1992(Wang I) and Huang et al, the Alkaloids, Vol. XXII 157-225, 1984 in view of Wang et al. Yaoxue Xuebao (Acta. Pharm. Sinica) 27, 173-177, 1992(Wang II) for reasons of record. In addition the following apply:

- Applicants' have offered the same arguments made in paper # 13 and examine have addressed them properly in the previous office action.
- Applicants' filling of a declaration is not timely and hence is not considered. See (c) says that applicants should provide MPEP 1207 and 714.12, and 714.13. Note
 CFR § 1.116(c) and 37 CFR § 1.116reasons why the affidavit or declaration not provide earlier before Final rejection

For these reasons the rejections made in the previous office action are maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (703) 305-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

Art Unit: 1624

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (703) 308-4716.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

V. Balasub o emoun Venkataraman Balasubramanian

9/3/2002